

Application No.: 10/621,459
Old Attorney's Docket No. 040072-246
New Attorney's Docket No. 0119-170
Page 2

Amendments to the Claims:

Please replace all prior versions, and listings of claims in the application with the following listing of claims.

Listing of claims

Claim 1 (canceled)

Claim 2 (currently amended): The method of claim [[1]] 10, wherein determining the interpolation degree based on the present CPU load estimate comprises:

comparing the present CPU loading estimate with a predefined permissible maximum CPU load limit and determining the interpolation degree based on said comparison.

Claim 3 (original): The method of claim 2, wherein determining the interpolation degree based on said comparison comprises:

determining the interpolation degree, based on said comparison, so as to provide a best quality of song synthesis without exceeding the predefined permissible maximum CPU load limit.

Claim 4 (original): The method of claim 2, wherein determining the interpolation degree based on said comparison comprises:

halting song synthesis, based on said comparison, in order to avoid song synthesis at a quality that is below a predetermined threshold.

Claim 5 (currently amended): The method of claim [[1]] 10, comprising:

adjusting the interpolation degree to a higher value in response to detecting that the present CPU loading estimate has decreased.

Claim 6 (currently amended): The method of claim [[1]] 10, comprising:

adjusting the interpolation degree to a lower value in response to detecting that the present CPU loading estimate has increased.

Application No.: 10/621,459
Old Attorney's Docket No. 040072-246
New Attorney's Docket No. 0119-170
Page 3

Claim 7 (currently amended): The method of claim [[1]] 10, wherein determining the interpolation degree based on the present CPU load estimate comprises:

comparing the present CPU loading estimate with one or more predefined CPU load levels, and determining the interpolation degree based on said one or more comparisons, wherein each of the one or more predefined CPU load levels corresponds to a corresponding one of a set of one or more interpolation degrees.

Claim 8 (currently amended): The method of claim [[1]] 10, wherein dynamically determining the present CPU loading estimate associated with the song being played by the wavetable synthesizer comprises:

while playing the song, detecting that a new voice has been set active;
determining an additional CPU load value that corresponds to the new voice; and
adding the additional CPU load value to an accumulated CPU loading estimate that represents the present CPU loading estimate.

Claim 9 (original): The method of claim 8, wherein determining the additional CPU load value that corresponds to the new voice comprises:

using an identity of the new voice to access and retrieve the additional CPU load value from a memory.

Claim 10 (currently amended): The method of claim 1, A method of controlling a wavetable synthesizer, the method comprising:

dynamically determining a present CPU loading estimate associated with a song being played by the wavetable synthesizer;

determining an interpolation degree based on the present CPU loading estimate; and
adjusting the wavetable synthesizer to utilize the interpolation degree when playing the song.

wherein dynamically determining the present CPU loading estimate associated with the song being played by the wavetable synthesizer comprises:

while playing the song, detecting that an existing voice has been newly deactivated;
determining a CPU load value that corresponds to the newly deactivated voice; and

Application No.: 10/621,459
Old Attorney's Docket No. 040072-246
New Attorney's Docket No. 0119-170
Page 4

subtracting the corresponding CPU load value from an accumulated CPU loading estimate that represents the present CPU loading estimate.

Claim 11 (canceled)

Claim 12 (currently amended): The apparatus of claim [[11]] 20, wherein the logic that determines the interpolation degree based on the present CPU load estimate comprises:

logic that compares the present CPU loading estimate with a predefined permissible maximum CPU load limit and determines the interpolation degree based on said comparison.

Claim 13 (original): The apparatus of claim 12, wherein the logic that determines the interpolation degree based on said comparison comprises:

logic that determines the interpolation degree, based on said comparison, so as to provide a best quality of song synthesis without exceeding the predefined permissible maximum CPU load limit.

Claim 14 (original): The apparatus of claim 12, wherein the logic that determines the interpolation degree based on said comparison comprises:

logic that halts song synthesis, based on said comparison, in order to avoid song synthesis at a quality that is below a predetermined threshold.

Claim 15 (currently amended): The apparatus of claim [[11]] 20, comprising:

logic that adjusts the interpolation degree to a higher value in response to detecting that the present CPU loading estimate has decreased.

Claim 16 (currently amended): The apparatus of claim [[11]] 20, comprising:

logic that adjusts the interpolation degree to a lower value in response to detecting that the present CPU loading estimate has increased.

Claim 17 (currently amended): The apparatus of claim [[11]] 20, wherein the logic that determines the interpolation degree based on the present CPU load estimate comprises:

Application No.: 10/621,459
Old Attorney's Docket No. 040072-246
New Attorney's Docket No. 0119-170
Page 5

logic that compares the present CPU loading estimate with one or more predefined CPU load levels, and determines the interpolation degree based on said one or more comparisons, wherein each of the one or more predefined CPU load levels corresponds to a corresponding one of a set of one or more interpolation degrees.

Claim 18 (currently amended): The apparatus of claim [[11]] 20, wherein the logic that dynamically determines the present CPU loading estimate associated with the song being played by the wavetable synthesizer comprises:

logic that detects that a new voice has been set active while playing the song;
logic that determines an additional CPU load value that corresponds to the new voice;
and
logic that adds the additional CPU load value to an accumulated CPU loading estimate that represents the present CPU loading estimate.

Claim 19 (original): The apparatus of claim 18, wherein the logic that determines the additional CPU load value that corresponds to the new voice comprises:

logic that uses an identity of the new voice to access and retrieve the additional CPU load value from a memory.

Claim 20 (currently amended): The apparatus of claim 11, An apparatus for controlling a wavetable synthesizer, the apparatus comprising:

logic that dynamically determines a present CPU loading estimate associated with a song being played by the wavetable synthesizer;
logic that determines an interpolation degree based on the present CPU loading estimate; and
logic that adjusts the wavetable synthesizer to utilize the interpolation degree when playing the song,

wherein the logic that dynamically determines the present CPU loading estimate associated with the song being played by the wavetable synthesizer comprises:

logic that detects that an existing voice has been newly deactivated while playing the song;

Application No.: 10/621,459
Old Attorney's Docket No. 040072-246
New Attorney's Docket No. 0119-170
Page 6

logic that determines a CPU load value that corresponds to the newly deactivated voice; and

logic that subtracts the corresponding CPU load value from an accumulated CPU loading estimate that represents the present CPU loading estimate.

Claim 21 (canceled)

Claim 22 (currently amended): The computer-readable storage medium of claim [[21]] 30, wherein determining the interpolation degree based on the present CPU load estimate comprises:

comparing the present CPU loading estimate with a predefined permissible maximum CPU load limit and determining the interpolation degree based on said comparison.

Claim 23 (original): The computer-readable storage medium of claim 22, wherein determining the interpolation degree based on said comparison comprises:

determining the interpolation degree, based on said comparison, so as to provide a best quality of song synthesis without exceeding the predefined permissible maximum CPU load limit.

Claim 24 (original): The computer-readable storage medium of claim 22, wherein determining the interpolation degree based on said comparison comprises:

halting song synthesis, based on said comparison, in order to avoid song synthesis at a quality that is below a predetermined threshold.

Claim 25 (currently amended): The computer-readable storage medium of claim [[21]] 30, wherein the instructions cause the processor to perform:

adjusting the interpolation degree to a higher value in response to detecting that the present CPU loading estimate has decreased.

Claim 26 (currently amended): The computer-readable storage medium of claim [[21]] 30, wherein the instructions cause the processor to perform:

Application No.: 10/621,459
Old Attorney's Docket No. 040072-246
New Attorney's Docket No. 0119-170
Page 7

adjusting the interpolation degree to a lower value in response to detecting that the present CPU loading estimate has increased.

Claim 27 (currently amended): The computer-readable storage medium of claim [[21]] 30, wherein determining the interpolation degree based on the present CPU load estimate comprises:

comparing the present CPU loading estimate with one or more predefined CPU load levels, and determining the interpolation degree based on said one or more comparisons, wherein each of the one or more predefined CPU load levels corresponds to a corresponding one of a set of one or more interpolation degrees.

Claim 28 (currently amended): The computer-readable storage medium of claim [[21]] 30, wherein dynamically determining the present CPU loading estimate associated with the song being played by the wavetable synthesizer comprises:

while playing the song, detecting that a new voice has been set active;
determining an additional CPU load value that corresponds to the new voice; and
adding the additional CPU load value to an accumulated CPU loading estimate that represents the present CPU loading estimate.

Claim 29 (original): The computer-readable storage medium of claim 28, wherein determining the additional CPU load value that corresponds to the new voice comprises:

using an identity of the new voice to access and retrieve the additional CPU load value from a memory.

Claim 30 (currently amended): The computer-readable storage medium of claim 21, A computer-readable storage medium having stored therein one or more instructions for causing a processor to control a wavetable synthesizer, the instructions causing the processor to perform:

dynamically determining a present CPU loading estimate associated with a song being played by the wavetable synthesizer;
determining an interpolation degree based on the present CPU loading estimate; and

Application No.: 10/621,459
Old Attorney's Docket No. 040072-246
New Attorney's Docket No. 0119-170
Page 8

adjusting the wavetable synthesizer to utilize the interpolation degree when playing the song.

wherein dynamically determining the present CPU loading estimate associated with the song being played by the wavetable synthesizer comprises:

while playing the song, detecting that an existing voice has been newly deactivated; determining a CPU load value that corresponds to the newly deactivated voice; and subtracting the corresponding CPU load value from an accumulated CPU loading estimate that represents the present CPU loading estimate.